

Manuel P. Asensio-Garcia

From: Manuel P. Asensio-Garcia
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2019 10:04 AM
To: John G. Roberts. Jr.
Cc: Abrams_NYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov
Subject: New Evidence of New York Federal Judges' Collusion with New York State Chief Judge.

PLEASE PARDON ANY ERRORS

In the Matter of Manuel P. Asensio's Petition for Judicial Conference review of the Judicial Council for the Second Circuit's ability to honestly process the four individual Judicial Conduct Act of 1980 complaints against Katzmann, Judges McMahon, Abrams and Failla in *Asensio v. Roberts* and *Asensio v. DiFiore* at the SDNY ("Katzmann Complaint").

Chief Justice Roberts,

The Chief Justice has no right, authority, privilege, discretion, jurisdiction, or any other form of legitimate authority ignore Petitioner's request to receive notice that he has complied with Judicial Conduct Section 359. It is imperative that the Chief Justice give notice to the Petitioner that he has restricted Judges Katzmann, McMahon, Abrams and Failla from contacting with any member of the Judicial Conference for any reason. These judges are accused of having engaged in conversation about *Asensio v. Roberts* and *Asensio v. DiFiore* and to have come to an agreement on how to protect the defendants. This agreement includes engaging New York State Chief Judge Janet Marie DiFiore to formulate a scheme to manage the *New York DailyNews'* press coverage of his actions to recuse Judge Abrams.

The Petitioner has proof that Judge Abrams received information about the Petitioner from Chief Judge DiFiore. Together Judge Abrams and Chief Judge DiFiore agreed on how Judge Abrams would use the information. After coming to these agreement, Chief Judge DiFiore shared the information with her immediate employee, Lucian Chalfen. Then Mr. Chalfen share the information with editors and reporters at the *New York DailyNews*. Judge Abrams fabricate a fictitious official document that Mr. Chalfen passed unto the *New York DailyNews*. Judge Abrams labeled the fictitious document an "order" and hastily filed as if it was such a document on a Saturday afternoon during a Holiday weekend. It then appeared in the *New York DailyNews'* on Monday of the holiday weekend, January 21, 2019.

Asensio v. Roberts and *Asensio v. DiFiore* address national federal court corruption that is causing harm on the American People every day under the cover of the "misty" so called domestic relations exception to federal subject matter jurisdiction. Judges Katzmann, McMahon, Abrams and Failla represent a chief judge of a US Court of Appeals, two of the 26 members of the Judicial Conference, one of the member of the Judicial Conference's Executive Committee, and two of the most prominent female federal trial judges in the nation. [Misty is a term used by the federal courts themselves.]

The DRE allows deliberate violation of American due process and equal protection rights. These violations are deliberately allowed by the federal judges in order to abrogate American freedoms of religion, speech and political affiliation/expression at the state level. The fact is that the DRE allows the states to fabricate criminal allegations as part of their process to forcibly separate parents from their children is the most sinister and evil element of the DRE fraud.

New evidence has surfaced that Chief Judge DiFiore and Judge Abrams have entered into another agreement to act together. Their motives for continuing to work together against the Petitioner and his daughter are obvious:

1. Chief Judge DiFiore needs to fabricate an after-the-fact justification for her December 5, 2016 administrative order or Judge Abrams to conceal it. Chief Judge DiFiore's decision pardoned a highly questionable magistrate that led the scheme to fabricate the "wacky" December 29, 2015 story in order to justify his January 15, 2016 so

called emergency “interim” suspension. [Wacky is the term used by the *New York Daily News* in the original story before Chief Judge DiFiore and Judge Abrams become personally involved.]

2. Judge Abrams and Chief Judge DiFiore need to cover-up their agreements to work together on the Monday, January 21, 2019 *New York Daily News*. The Monday, January 21, 2019 is based on the December 29, 2015 story.
3. The scheme of having prosecutors fabricate false criminal charges in order to separate parents from their children is central to Judge Abrams’ January 21, 2019 agreement with Chief Judge DiFiore.
4. The Petitioner’s federal civil rights complaint clearly states is based on the state’s financial crimes. And the protection the federal judicial corruption under the DRE allows. In New York State, alone organized domestic relations crimes by state judges cost the public at least \$350,000,00 a year, this is the Petitioner’s minimum estimate. The losses the DRE cost are far greater.

The truth is that the DRE is a federal judicial crime. It allows judges to lie, cheat and steal, and then to further use the force of their official positions to silence their American victims. It leaves American shaken and unstable; feeling of the emotional pain of extreme injustice, anger and insecurity created from witnessing state judges themselves committing crimes and covering-up for each other.

There is no doubt that the Chief Justice is familiar and comfortable with the tactics that NYS Chief Judge DiFiore uses to allow New York State matrimonial and family judges to execute crimes against New Yorkers. The most evil of these is inciting one parent to collude with the state judges and state prosecutors against another parent. This is exactly what Judge Abrams and Chief Judge DiFiore did themselves personally on Monday, January 21, 2019.

The reason that the Chief Justice is familiar and comfortable with the tactics Chief Justice us is because he uses the same tactics at the Judicial Conference of the US. The DRE is the parent of state’s for-profit abuse of domestic violence laws.

Asensio v. DiFiore is squarely centered on the DRE and New York State’s for-profit abuse of domestic violence laws and unauthorized interim suspension.

Now, because of all of the above, new evidence has surfaced that Judge Abrams and Chief Judge DiFiore are continuing that fabricate stories about the “wacky” December 29, 2015 story.

Chief Judge DiFiore has instructed New York County District Attorney Office's Domestic Violence Chief Lawrence Newman to fabricate his own new never before heard account of the events of December 29, 2015. Newman is a central figure in Asensio v. DiFiore complaint. Newman's conduct in the December 29, 2015 matter is a fundament fact issue in the case against NYS Chief Judge DiFiore. Newman's after-fact fictitious storytelling is clearly a scheme that Chief Judge DiFiore can only executed with Judges Katzmann, McMahon, Abrams and Failla's affirmative consent.

The risk to justice of the Chief Justice’s conduct in refusing give notice of his Section 359 restriction order or his decision NOT to enter the order are obvious.

The magnitude, scope and depth of the broad social fault “toxic male aggression” ideology and the DRE-fraud that the federal judges have perpetrated on the nation, and its constitution, laws and people, is proof beyond reasonable doubt that it emanates from the Judicial Conference and that the Chief Justice is familiar and comfortable with the agreements between the New York federal judges and the New York state judges.

Again, although the above it obviously true, the Petitioner has never alleged the "broad social fault" fraud or gender bias. The federal civil rights complaint is based on facts of financial state judicial crimes and fabrication of the “wacky” December 29, 2015 story in order to justify his January 15, 2016 so called emergency “interim” suspension.

As stated in the opening, I will attach this to a new filing in the Petition for Review in furtherance of the Petitioner's request to receive confirmation that the Chief Justice has complied with Judicial Conduct Section 359's mandate that he restrict Judges Katzmann, McMahon, Abrams and Failla's contacts with any member of the Judicial Conference for any reason.

Manuel P. Asensio
Petitioner
Petition for Judicial Conference Review
Direct: [212] 702-8801
Cellular: [917] 515-5200