

**THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364
JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMPLAINTS
02-19-90052-JM. and 02-19-90053-JM**

RECEIVED
2019 JUN 11 PM 1:40
CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND CIRCUIT

June 10, 2019

1. **Name and Address of Claimant:** Manuel P. Asensio (“Complainant-Plaintiff”) 400 East 54th Street, Apt. 29B, New York, NY 10022 (212) 702-8801; Cell: (917) 515-5200; Email: mpa@asensio.com
2. **Place of Filing:** Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court, Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, NY 10007
3. **Name of Subject Judge:** Hon. Robert A. Katzmann (“Katzmann Complaints.”)

The Katzmann Complaints are of particular significance¹ to the federal question of parental constitutional and civil rights, authority, political power versus judicial corruption, and use of immunity and political power for corrupt judicial acts. They pertain to Judge Katzmann’s use of his office and his conduct as a member of the US Judicial Commission, including his use of his appointment by the Chief Justice of the US Supreme Court, the Hon. John G. Roberts, Jr. to the US Judicial Commission’s Executive Committee, to collude with the Hon. Coleen McMahon, the Hon. Ronnie Abrams and the Hon. Katherine Parker Failla against the Claimant.

4. **Identification of Particular Case Where Ongoing Behavior Is Occurring:** Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 filed under rules 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 pertaining to *Asensio et al v. Roberts et al*, SDNY 19 CV 03384 and *Asensio et al. v. DiFiore et al.* 18-CV-10933

The undersigned Complainant filed the Katzmann Complaints against the Hon. Robert A. Katzmann, the Hon. Colleen McMahon, the Hon. Ronnie Abrams and the Hon. Katherine Parker Failla. The first of these complaints is dated February 13, 2019.

One of the complaints addresses Judge McMahon’s actions while acting as the intermediary between New York Chief Judge Janet Marie DiFiore who is the lead defendant in *Asensio et al. v. DiFiore et al.* and Judge Abrams the presiding judge in this case, and as a go between Judge Abrams and Judge Katzmann.

¹ These complaints and *Asensio et al. v. Roberts et al.* are all born out of the Chief Justice of the US Supreme Court, the Hon. John G. Roberts, Jr., collusion with state governments and federal judges to deny Americans, including New York State citizens, their most substantive rights. He is acting illegally in concealment and in clear absence of jurisdiction. He is illegally using his control of the US Judicial Commission for political purposes and to usurp and circumvent prescribed Congressional and Presidential authority and processes.

Among the charges against Judges McMahon and Abrams is that they colluded to assist Defendant DiFiore in dealing with the New York Daily News. The paper had expressed an interest in *Asensio v. DiFiore* and questioned Defendant DiFiore about the case.

The two federal judges and Defendant DiFiore engaged in the collusion for the purpose of publicizing a scheme that Defendant DiFiore had fabricated with one of her co-defendants, a New York County Family Court Magistrate. Together Judges McMahon and Abrams assisted Defendant DiFiore to plant a one-sided, false and slanderous story against the Complainant and *Asensio v. DiFiore*'s validity, and to introduce this false story into the court proceedings. The story was published on January 21, 2019.

Judge Katzmann is accused of having concealed the Daily News complaints and then colluding with Judges McMahon and Failla to protect Judge Abrams after she deliberately violated law, rules and advisory notices mandating that she recuse herself from *Asensio v. DiFiore*.

Judge Abrams needed Judges Katzmann and McMahon's assistance to cover-up her dishonest act of fabricating a "fake" self-serving order. Judge Abrams fabricated an order denying the Complainant's motion to recuse based on his filing of the *Asensio v. Roberts*' complaint, exactly as she fabricated an order to help Defendant DiFiore with the Daily News. This time Judge Abrams violated three commanding authorities that make her recusal necessary and inescapable. She did so in bad faith and for the corrupt reason for going through with her scheme to dismiss *Asensio v. DiFiore* as shown in the Katzmann Complaints.

The Judicial Council issued two docket numbers on May 13, 2019 in this matter. Under applicable law and rules, the Judicial Council must issue separate docket numbers for each of the four individual judges. The Katzmann Complaints involve collusion between four federal judges. However, the accusations are different for each of the individuals. It is for that reason that it is required that the Judicial Council issue four separate docket numbers.

Two additional individual docket numbers must be issued, and all four docket numbers must be identified by the subject judge.

As Judge Katzmann is well aware, the Katzmann Complaints concern actions taken in bad faith and in clear absence of their jurisdiction and outside of their judicial capacities. The Katzmann Complaints seek to have the four judges answer for the harm they are causing the Complainant-Plaintiff and in his daughter, Eva Asensio, his Co-Plaintiff in *Asensio v. Roberts and Asensio v. DiFiore*. These are illegal and unauthorized actions that violate essential Constitutional and legal rights and that no relationship with any judicial or office functions.

I, Manuel P. Asensio, swear that I am fully familiar with the facts contained in this complaint and that statements I make herein are complete and true to the best of my knowledge, including any matters stated upon personal information and belief. I do so swear.


Manuel P. Asensio