

## Manuel P. Asensio-Garcia

---

**From:** Manuel P. Asensio-Garcia  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 9, 2019 9:18 AM  
**To:** Abrams\_NYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov  
**Cc:** JCD\_PetitionforReview@ao.uscourts.gov  
**Subject:** Recluse and Restrictions Orders.  
**Attachments:** 28 2019-01-15 THIRD ANNIVERSARY OF JANUARY 15, 2016 INTERIM SUSPENSION.pdf; 28-1 2019-01-15 EXHIBIT 1 PROTECTION FROM EXALTED GOVERNMENT.pdf; 28-2 2019-01-15 EXHIBIT LAW MEMO PARENTAL POWER.pdf; 28-2 2019-01-15 EXHIBIT LAW MEMO PARENTAL POWER EMAIL CONFIRMATING FILING.pdf; 12-2 2018-12-13 AIS PLAINTIFFS MOTION 1 - MPA.pdf

July 9, 2019

This email is delivered to you in the record of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 proceedings ("Katzmann Complaints") in which you are the lead respondent and in the record for Asensio v. DiFiore and Asensio v. Roberts.

Re: Compliance with laws, cannons and advisory opinions requiring without exception or Interpretation Judge Abrams' recusal and issuance by the Judicial Commission of Restriction Orders against Judges Katzmann and McMahan

Recluse is manadate by law and Judge Abrams' disposal of motion 1, Daily News collusion, promotion of December 29, 2015 fraud and Judge Abrams and her husband long term and close personal and professional relationships with Defendant DiFiore and her husband

Restriction Order is mandated by law and is necessary to address Judged Abrams and Fialla's illegal acts to conceal evidence and introduce false information into court records

Restriction Order is necessary to provide redress from New York State and Federal Judicial Corruption under the cover of the DRE, immunity and absence.

Dictated please pardon any errors.

Judge Abrams,

Attached is Asensio v. DiFiore ECF 28 was filed on January 15, 2019 at the same day that Judge Abrams was colluding with Respondent Judge McMahan and Defendant Judge DiFiore to fabricate an order to assist in slandering me, my daughter and the case before Judge Abrams. Not only did Judge Abrams slander me, my daughter and the case before Judge Abrams but she continue to prey on Defendant Bosak's emotional problems and to harm an innocent child that cannot protect herself from Judge Abrams' abuse.

Attached is Asensio v. DiFiore ECF 12-2 is Motion 1 that Judge Abrams illegally and maliciously deposed of simultaneously with fabricating a one-sided stay to protect Defendant Fasanya and the on-going collusion between .

I and my daughter have completely clean hands. We have no fault. We did not contribute to any of the defendants' action that caused us harm. We are victims of the defendants' illegal, unjustifiable, lawless malicious conduct executed against us Without any authorization or jurisdiction or due process or equal rights protection under the cover of the so called federal judges invented "DRE" and "Broad Social Fault" scams.

i have not received confirmation of the Judicial Commission's Order restricting Judges Katzmann and McMahon.

This expands my email of July 3, 2019 to Chief Justice Roberts copying Judge Abrams concerning the New York judges' Katzmann and McMahon's restriction. I will enter this email into the above mentioned Judicial Conduct record and the SDNY *Asensio v. DiFiore* and *Asensio v Roberts* records.

No judge has any right to take jurisdiction over any matter to deliberately ignore any party's facts, factors and circumstances and act with prejudice of any party. This includes Judges Abrams and Fialla in New York and Chief Justice Roberts.

This is especially true in normal routine post divorce judgment child-parent custody matters pertaining to religious and political speech, and due process rights, and the undeniable right to be protected from federal and state judicial corruption and federal judicial policies fabricated at the Judicial Conference.

The above is particularly true in domestic relations post divorce judgment custody petitions filed by noncustodial parents involving straightforward simple uncomplicated and routine violations of plain rules.

The corruption involves the fabrication of criminal charges that do not and cannot exist in any state text. This is justified as broad social fault enforcement acts but is actually financially motivated corruption.

In New York State alone I estimate that the above financial corruption exceeds \$350,000,000 per year. This sum only includes direct fabricated fees and fabricated unauthorized suspensions. It does not include collateral damage.

The corruption is based on unauthorized fees and parenting suspensions against noncustodial parents involving the processing of normal routine straightforward post divorce judgment custody petitions by noncustodial parents. This can only exist through the federal judiciary's fabricated exception to jurisdiction over these matters.

This case is centered and founded on an investigation of deliberate systematic institutionalized violations of due process and equal protection under the cover of the federal judges' fabrication of an abrogation of Article III rights in normal routine custody matters.

Attached is an excerpt of the first three paragraphs of the memorandum and affidavit in support of motion one and a memorandum of law in support of one of the motions to recuse.

Judge Scalia wrote about the grave dangers to American justice and civil society of adjudications based on fabricated broad social fault.

The New York federal judges are acting to avoid the facts, factors and circumstances, to avoid engaging the record and issues, to create more harm and conflict, and to manipulate to avoid simple reasoning based on uncontested law and facts.

This is the exact judicial conduct that is the subject of this litigation at the state level.

This is proof of the four subject federal judges' disability to deal with the state judicial corruption.

This disability is the direct result of the federal judges' fabrication of domestic relations exception to federal jurisdiction, and broad social fault. The Judicial Conference and Chief Justice Roberts are the sole and exact authority to deal with the Four Federal Judges' misconduct and emotional disabilities to cease their illegal protection of New York State's judicial corruption in domestic relations post-divorce judgment custody petitions filed by noncustodial parents involving straightforward simple uncomplicated and routine violations of plain rules.

With all due respect, I demand that you cease your deceitful and harmful conduct and recuse yourself forthwith.